

STAT

25 YEAR RE-REVIEW

1 APR 1960

STAT

As I mentioned to you in my letter of 24 March, the dual compensation problem is a complicated one. Without going into detail I shall give you the basic picture with respect to your particular situation.

The statutes place limitations on retired military personnel with respect both to any "salary" received for services performed, and to "holding a civilian office or position." Under the present state of the law, as long as you are performing services for us daily intermittently, or are paid on a "fee" basis, you are not considered as receiving a "salary." In addition, since you are an independent contractor performing a purely advisory function, you are not considered as holding an office or position.

Considering the above, our legal staff feels you can freely sign "do not" to the question on the IBM card. Meanwhile I shall have your contract revised to emphasize more strongly the independent, advisory, intermittent nature of your contractual relationship with us.

I trust this takes care of things. Please feel free to drop me a line if other questions arise. In the meantime you can expect to hear from our representatives when the new contract is ready.

Sincerely,

SIGNED

C. P. Gabell  
General, USAF  
Deputy Director

STAT

OGC:HRC:jem (3/30/60)

Distribution:

Orig &amp; P - Addressee

1 - DECI (w/basic)

1 - ER via Reading

1 - OE (Attn: Mr. [redacted])

1 - OGC (return to 227 East Bldg.)

CONCUR.

Director of Security

STAT

<b>TRANSMITTAL SLIP</b>		DATE 1 April 1960
TO: Office of the DDCI		
ROOM NO.	BUILDING	
REMARKS:  This letter has been rewritten in OS due to typographical error in paragraph 2 of letter. This change was coordinated with OGC.  <i>(one old carbon used for ER copy)</i>		
FROM: DD/OS (IOS)		
ROOM NO. 2044	BUILDING I	EXTENSION

FORM NO. 241  
1 FEB 55REPLACES FORM 36-8  
WHICH MAY BE USED.

★ GPO : 1957 - O - 439445

STAT

STAT